1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (SBN 44332) United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (SBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division MICHAEL T. PYLE (SBN 172954) Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office/Civil Division			
3				
4				
5				
	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-3495			
6	Telephone: (415) 436-7322 Facsimile: (415) 436-6748			
7	E-mail: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov			
8	Attorneys for Defendant John E. Potter, Postmaster General			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12	HAROLD BLACK,) No. C 08-1344 SI		
13	Plaintiff,			
14	V.	DEFENDANT'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
15	JOHN E. POTTER, Postmaster General,) CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
16	Defendant.			
17				
18	Defendant John E. Potter, Postmaster General, by and through his counsel, hereby			
19	submits the following Case Management Conference Statement pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-			
20	9:			
21	1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u> : This is an action arising under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act			
22	of 1964 for employment discrimination over which jurisdiction on this Court is conferred by 42			
23	U.S.C. §2000e-5. Defendant does not contest personal jurisdiction, venue, and service.			
24	2. <u>Facts</u> : Defendant understands Plaintiff to allege that he was terminated from his position			
25	at the Petaluma Post Office as the result of discrimination and retaliation.			
26	Defendant contends that Plaintiff wa	s employed at the Casa Grande Station in Petaluma		
27	as a PTF (Part-Time Flexible) clerk in June 2005, but his Form 50 contained the requirement that			
28				
	C 08-1344 SI DEFENDANT'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT 1			

- Plaintiff pass all training requirements of the job. On July 22, 2005, Plaintiff was separated from
- 2 service during probation for failing to pass a required written examination. Plaintiff filed Equal
- 3 | Employment Opportunity claims in 2005, which claims were resolved against Plaintiff when an
- 4 Administrative Judge issued a decision against Plaintiff without a hearing. Plaintiff appealed the
- 5 dismissal of his case. On September 24, 2007, the Office of Federal Operations denied his
- 6 appeal. On December 10, 2007, the Office of Federal Operations denied his motion for
- 7 reconsideration. Plaintiff then timely filed his complaint in this Court.
- B 1. Legal Issues: Whether or not Plaintiff can meet his burden to prove that Defendant
 9 discriminated and/or retaliated against Plaintiff.
- 10 4. <u>Motions</u>: Defendant intends to move for summary judgment after conducting some
- 11 discovery. At this time Defendant does not anticipate any other motions.
- 14 6. Evidence Preservation: Defendant has taken affirmative steps to preserve documents and evidence related to this action.
- 16 7. Disclosures: Defendant served initial disclosures on June 13, 2008.
- 8. <u>Discovery</u>: Defendant does not believe that any changes to the discovery limits or procedures are necessary or appropriate at this time.
- 19 9. Class Actions: Not applicable.
- **20** 10. <u>Related Cases</u>: None.
- **21** 11. Relief:
- 22 Plaintiff: Plaintiff apparently seeks such relief as the Court deems proper.
- Defendant: Defendant seeks dismissal of this action and the assessment of costs.
- 24 12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u>: Defendant timely filed its ADR certification, but has not been able to have a meaningful meet and confer with Plaintiff about ADR options.
- 26 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes: Defendant does not consent to have this
 27 matter heard by a magistrate judge for all purposes.

28

		3	
1	14. Other References: Defendant does not request any reference.		
2	15. <u>Narrowing of Issues</u> : None at this time.		
3	16. <u>Expedited Schedule</u> : Defendant sees no need for an expedited schedule.		
4	17. <u>Scheduling</u> : Defendant requests that the Court enter an order with the following schedule:		
5	Discovery Cut-Off: November 28, 2008		
6	Expert Disclosures: December 31, 2008		
7	Last Dispositive Motion Hearing Date: February 13, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.		
8	Pre-Trial Conference: February 2009, on a date to be chosen by the Court		
9	Trial: March 2009, on a date to be chosen by the Court		
10	18. <u>Trial</u> : Plaintiff has requested a trial by jury. Defendant estimates that a trial would take no		
11	more than 4 days.		
12	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons</u> : Civil L.R. 3-16 does not apply to the		
13	Defendant by the terms of that rule.		
14	Dated: June 13, 2008		
15		Respectfully submitted,	
16		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
17		Office States Attorney	
18		By: /s/ MICHAEL T. PYLE	
19		Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendant	
20		Attorney for Defendant	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	C 08-	1344 SI	
	DEFENDANT'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT		

Case 3:08-cv-01344-SI Document 9 Filed 06/13/2008 Page 3 of 3